UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ODED MAOZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

APLE SHOP,

Defendant.

22-CV-2039 (LTS)

ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action invoking the Court's federal question jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1331. By order dated March 31, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP), that is, without prepayment of fees. For the reasons set forth in this order, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to file an amended complaint.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits –

to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

The Supreme Court has held that, under Rule 8, a complaint must include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id.*

BACKGROUND

The following facts are drawn from the complaint. Plaintiff, who is an artist, purchased a phone from an Apple store on East 59th Street in Manhattan. He returned to the store, with his receipt, to have his phone fixed. An Apple employee from the store deleted all of Plaintiff's pictures – which Plaintiff had collected over a two-year period – but did not fix the phone. Apple employees told Plaintiff to leave the store, and he has had no communication with anyone from the store since the day his pictures were deleted.

Plaintiff asserts that Defendant stole 2,000 of his pictures by deleting them from his phone and refusing to bring them back. He seeks \$1,000,000 in money damages.

Plaintiff provides a New York address for mailing but does not state where he is domiciled, that is, where he resides on a permanent basis.

DISCUSSION

A. Subject Matter Jurisdiction

The subject matter jurisdiction of the federal district courts is limited and is set forth generally in 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332. Under these statutes, federal jurisdiction is available only when a "federal question" is presented or when plaintiff and defendant are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000. "'[I]t is common ground that in our federal system of limited jurisdiction any party or the court *sua sponte*, at any stage of the proceedings, may raise the question of whether the court has subject matter jurisdiction." *United Food & Commercial Workers Union, Local 919, AFL-CIO v. CenterMark Prop. Meriden Square, Inc.*, 30 F.3d 298, 301 (2d Cir. 1994) (quoting *Manway Constr. Co., Inc. v. Hous. Auth. of the City of Hartford*, 711 F.2d 501, 503 (2d Cir. 1983)); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3) ("If the court determines at any time that it lacks subject-matter jurisdiction, the court must dismiss the action."); *Ruhrgas AG v. Marathon Oil Co.*, 526 U.S. 574, 583 (1999) ("[S]ubject-matter delineations must be policed by the courts on their own initiative").

B. The Court does not have federal question jurisdiction of Plaintiff's claims

To invoke federal question jurisdiction, a plaintiff's claims must arise "under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 1331. A case arises under federal law if the complaint "establishes either that federal law creates the cause of action or that the plaintiff's right to relief necessarily depends on resolution of a substantial question of federal law." *Bay Shore Union Free Sch. Dist. v. Kain*, 485 F.3d 730, 734-35 (2d Cir. 2007) (quoting *Empire Healthchoice Assur., Inc. v. McVeigh*, 547 U.S. 677, 690 (2006)). Mere invocation of federal jurisdiction, without any facts demonstrating a federal law claim, does not create federal subject matter jurisdiction. *See Nowak v. Ironworkers Local 6 Pension Fund*, 81 F.3d 1182,

1188-89 (2d Cir. 1996). Plaintiff invokes this Court's federal question jurisdiction, but the facts alleged do not suggest that any federal law was violated. The Court therefore cannot exercise federal question jurisdiction of Plaintiff's claims.

C. The Court may have diversity jurisdiction of Plaintiff's claims

The complaint does not state enough facts to demonstrate that the Court has diversity jurisdiction of Plaintiff's claims, which may arise under New York law. The Court therefore grants Plaintiff leave to amend his complaint to cure the following defects.

1. Diverse Citizenship

To establish jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a plaintiff must first allege that the plaintiff and the defendant are citizens of different states. *Wis. Dep't of Corr. v. Schacht*, 524 U.S. 381, 388 (1998). An individual is a citizen of the State where he is domiciled, which is defined as the place where a person "has [her] true fixed home . . . and to which, whenever he is absent, he has the intention of returning." *Palazzo ex rel. Delmage v. Corio*, 232 F.3d 38, 42 (2d Cir. 2000) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). A corporation is a citizen "of any State by which it has been incorporated and of the State where it has its principal place of business." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1); *see also Hertz Corp. v. Friend*, 559 U.S. 77, 92-93 (2010) (a corporation's principal place of business is its "nerve center," usually its main headquarters).

2. Amount in Controversy

In addition, the plaintiff must allege to a "reasonable probability" that the claim is in excess of the sum or value of \$75,000.00, the statutory jurisdictional amount. *See* 28 U.S.C. \$ 1332(a); *Colavito v. N.Y. Organ Donor Network, Inc.*, 438 F.3d 214, 221 (2d Cir. 2006) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted).

3. Plaintiff does not meet either requirement under the diversity statute

Plaintiff provides a New York mailing address for himself, but he does not state where he is domiciled. As for the Defendant, Apple, because it is incorporated in California, where it maintains its principal place of business, *see Bah v. Apple Inc.*, No. 19-CV-3539 (PKC), 2020 WL 614932, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 10, 2020) (noting that Apple is incorporated and has its principal place of business in California), it is a citizen of California for diversity purposes. Because Plaintiff does not provide his domicile, however, the Court cannot conclude that the parties are diverse. Moreover, though Plaintiff seeks \$1,000,000 in damages, the facts alleged do not suggest that he could recover an amount that exceeds \$75,000. He does state, however, that he is an artist and suggests that the photos deleted are valuable. The Court therefore cannot conclude that Plaintiff fails to satisfy this statutory requirement.

D. Leave to Amend

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because Plaintiff may be able to allege additional facts to state a valid state law claim against Apple, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims.

Plaintiff is granted leave to amend his complaint to provide more facts about his state law claims and to demonstrate that the Court has diversity jurisdiction of his claims. In the

"Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. Plaintiff must provide his residential address and the residential address for any named defendant.

Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief.

That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

Plaintiff may wish to contact the **New York Legal Assistance Group (NYLAG)** for assistance in drafting an amended complaint. An informational flyer provided by NYLAG is attached to this order.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards

set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit

within 60 days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and

label the document with docket number 22-CV-2039 (LTS). An Amended Complaint form is

attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the

time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the complaint will be

dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

April 5, 2022

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | CV |
|---|--|
| Write the full name of each plaintiff. | (Include case number if one has been assigned) |
| -against- | AMENDED |
| | COMPLAINT |
| | Do you want a jury trial?☐ Yes ☐ No |
| | _ |
| Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more space, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section II. | _ |

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

I. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

information for each additional plaintiff.

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation, and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000, is a diversity case. In a diversity case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

| What is the basis for federal-court jurisdiction in your case? |
|---|
| ☐ Federal Question |
| ☐ Diversity of Citizenship |
| A. If you checked Federal Question |
| Which of your federal constitutional or federal statutory rights have been violated? |
| |
| |
| |
| B. If you checked Diversity of Citizenship |
| 1. Citizenship of the parties |
| Of what State is each party a citizen? |
| The plaintiff , , is a citizen of the State of |
| The plaintiff,, is a citizen of the State of (Plaintiff's name) |
| (State in which the person resides and intends to remain.) |
| or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, a citizen or subject of the foreign state of |
| If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach additional pages providing |

| If the defendant is an individual: | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| The defendant, (Defendant's name) | | , is a citizen of the State of |
| or, if not lawfully admitted for permanen subject of the foreign state of | | |
| If the defendant is a corporation: | | · |
| The defendant, | | is incorporated under the laws of |
| the State of | | |
| and has its principal place of business in | | |
| or is incorporated under the laws of (forei | ign state) | |
| and has its principal place of business in | | |
| If more than one defendant is named in the cinformation for each additional defendant. | complaint, atta | ch additional pages providing |
| II. PARTIES | | |
| A. Plaintiff Information | | |
| Provide the following information for each proages if needed. | laintiff named | d in the complaint. Attach additional |
| First Name Middle Initial | Last I | Name |
| | | |
| Street Address | | |
| County, City | State | Zip Code |
| Telephone Number | Email Addres | ss (if available) |

B. Defendant Information

To the best of your ability, provide addresses where each defendant may be served. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are the same as those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages if needed.

| Defendant 1: | | | | | |
|--------------|---|-----------|----------|---|--|
| | First Name | Last Name | | | |
| | Current Job Title (or other identifying information) | | | | |
| | Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served) | | | | |
| | County, City | State | Zip Code | _ | |
| Defendant 2: | | | | | |
| | First Name | Last Name | | | |
| | Current Job Title (or other identifying information) | | | | |
| | Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served) | | | | |
| | County, City | State | Zip Code | _ | |
| Defendant 3: | | | | | |
| | First Name | Last Name | | | |
| | Current Job Title (or other identifying information) | | | | |
| | Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served) | | | _ | |
| | County, City | State | Zip Code | _ | |

| Defendant 4: | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|----------|--|
| | First Name | Last Name | | |
| | Current Job Title (or other identifying information) | | | |
| | Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served) | | | |
| | County, City | State | Zip Code | |
| III. STATEMI | ENT OF CLAIM | | | |
| Place(s) of occur | rrence: | | | |
| | | | | |
| Date(s) of occur | rence: | | | |
| FACTS: | | | | |
| | nat each defendant pe | port your case. Describe what happene ersonally did or failed to do that harme | • | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Case 1:22-cv-02039-LTS Document 7 Filed 04/05/22 Page 13 of 15

| INJURIES: |
|---|
| If you were injured as a result of these actions, describe your injuries and what medical treatment, if any, you required and received. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| IV. RELIEF |
| State briefly what money damages or other relief you want the court to order. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to notify the Clerk's Office in writing of any changes to my mailing address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. If seeking to proceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff must also submit an IFP application.

| Dated | | Plaintiff's | Signature |
|---|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| First Name | Middle Initial | Last Name | • |
| Street Address | | | |
| County, City | St | ate | Zip Code |
| Telephone Number | | Email Add | ress (if available) |
| I have read the Pro Se | (Nonprisoner) Consen | t to Receive D | ocuments Electronically: |
| \square Yes \square No | | | |
| If you do consent to receive documents electronically, submit the completed form with your complaint. If you do not consent, please do not attach the form. | | | |

Notice For Pro Se Litigants

As a public health precaution, the New York Legal Assistance Group's Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants has temporarily suspended all inperson client meetings as of Tuesday, March 17, 2020.

Limited scope legal assistance will continue to be provided, but only by appointment and only over the phone. During this time, we cannot assist walk-in visitors to the clinic.

If you need the assistance of the clinic, please call 212-659-6190 and leave a message, including your telephone number, and someone will get back to you as soon as possible. If you do not leave a message with your telephone number, we cannot call you back.

Please be patient because our responses to your messages may be delayed while we transition to phone appointments.



